SECRET

Correspondence In Correspondence Out

0 5

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Tuesday - 18 August 1964

I. Talked with William Darden, Senate Armed Services Committee staff, and reviewed with him the work we had done with Ed Braswell during his absence from the city. Gave to him the possible amendments to our retirement bill aimed at tightening the bill. Discussed with Mr. Darden the desire of the Director to see him briefly and arrangements were made for a meeting at 4:45 p.m. today.	50X1
Talked with Congressman Gerald Ford in connection with the newspaper article in THE WASHINGTON POST of August 9. Explained to him that the thrust of this article was inadequate and that Mr. Ford thanked me for following up on this. Also gave the same explanation to Mr. Michaels who will advise Mr. Mahon.	50X1 50X1 50X1
	50X1
The Senate Post Office and Civil Service Committee today reported H.R. 5932 which contains at section 3(a) authority necessary for the retroactive adjustment of salaries established by administrative action. It is expected that the report will be filed with the Committee later in the day. Consideration by the Senate is possible on Wednesday, but is not certain.	50X1

SECRET



Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel Tuesday - 18 August 1964 Page 2

I met with Mr. John Martiny, Acting Staff Director, House Post Office and Civil Service Committee, during Mr. Johnston's absence this week. Mr. Martiny indicated it would be desirable if we would provide a letter to the chairman outlining the Agency need for this legislation. I indicated a letter would be forth- coming from the Legislative Counsel and that I would meet with him in the morning.	50X1
6. Met with Mr. Russell Blandford, Counsel, House Armed Services Committee, and ascertained that it will not be possible for Mr. Vinson to come to lunch at the Agency during this week. We tentatively scheduled the first week of September for the luncheon.	50X1
Talked with Senator Thomas J. Dodd concerning his letter to the Director regarding the application of who has applied for a position with the Agency. I indicated that there were sufficient problems in the case for us not to select for employment. Without getting into specifics, the Senator asked if we were certain of the validity of our reasons for rejecting and I indicated we were. I also mentioned to him that Senator Jackson had initially recommended and we had discussed this matter with him. Dodd accepted this and indicated there was no need for further communication	50X1 50X1 50X1 50X1 50X1 50X1
With him on the case. I also mentioned to Senator Dodd my dealings with Jay Sourwine, Senate Internal Security Subcommittee staff, regarding the Subcommittee's interest in Senator Dodd did not appear to have any strong interests in but said there might be some advantages in having a session with him. The matter was left as it had been, that is I would hear from Sourwine as to the Subcommittee's interest.	50X1 50X1

SECRET

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2014/04/15 : CIA-RDP66B00403R000300010034-2

SECRET

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel Tuesday - 18 August 1964

Page 3

STAT 50X1

50X1

50X1

8. The Director and John S. Warner met with Mr. William Darden, Senate Armed Services Committee staff, to discuss the retirement bill. Mr. Darden suggested, and the Director agreed, that Mr. Braswell should be brought in. The Director stressed the importance to the Agency of this bill. He indicated he appreciated possible concern as to who would be covered under the bill since unlike the Foreign Service the people to be covered would not be confirmed in their appointments by the Senate. Braswell and Darden mentioned the time factors and indicated next week they could really go into this in depth. They discussed a number of specific points including: (a) no specific provision requiring stipulated length of overseas service; (b) would other agencies such as NSA have a legitimate basis for requesting similar treatment; (c) certain fringe aspects such as continuation of widow's annuity after remarriage; and (d) possibility of simple amendments to the Civil Service Retirement Act. The Director stated that, of course, there was no objection to tightening up the bill and he hoped that the staff, with the appropriate Agency people, could get together and work out the necessary amendments to present to the Chairman a bill which could be recommended by the staff and which would meet the Agency requirements. All agreed that this was the type of bill which really could not be redrafted in a Committee session.

cc: Ex Dir/Compt ADD/S

Mr. Chretien

JOHN S. WARNER Legislative Counsel

SECRET